IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Pio German Espinal, :

Petitioner(s),

: Case Number: 1:05cv812

vs. : District Judge Susan J. Dlott

Warden, Noble Correctional Institution,

Respondent(s).

ORDER

The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendations of United States Magistrate Judge Timothy S. Hogan filed on April 9, 2007(Doc. 11), to whom this case was referred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b), and noting that no objections have been filed thereto and that the time for filing such objections under Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) expired April 27, 2007, hereby ADOPTS said Report and Recommendations.

Accordingly, Petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 1) is **DENIED** with prejudice.

A certificate of appealability will not issue with respect to claims for relief which this Court has concluded are barred from review on procedural waiver grounds, because under the first prong of the applicable two-part standard enunciated in *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484-85 (2000), "jurists of reason" would not find it debatable whether the Court is correct in its procedural rulings.

A certificate also will not issue with respect to claims for relief that were addressed on the merits herein, because petitioner has not made a substantial showing that he has stated a "viable claim of the denial of a constitutional right" that is "adequate to deserve encouragement

Case: 1:05-cv-00812-SJD-TSH Doc #: 12 Filed: 05/01/07 Page: 2 of 2 PAGEID #: 369

to proceed further." See Slack, 529 U.S. at 475 (citing Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 893 &

n.4 (1983); see also 28 U.S.C. § 2253 (c); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).

With respect to any application by petitioner to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis, the

Court will certify pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that an appeal of any Order adopting this

Report and Recommendation will not be taken in "good faith," and, therefore, **DENY** petitioner

leave to appeal in forma pauperis upon a showing of financial necessity. See Fed. R. App. P.

24(a); Kincade v. Sparkman, 117 F.3d 949, 952 (6th Cir. 1997).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Susan J. Dlott_____

Susan J. Dlott

United States District Judge